## **Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma**

Under section 9FE of the Local Government Act 2000, Overview and Scrutiny Committees must require the Cabinet or local authority to respond to a report or recommendations made thereto by an Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Such a response must be provide d within two months from the date on which it is requested<sup>1</sup> and, if the report or recommendations in questions were published, the response also must be so.

This template provides a structure which respondents are encouraged to use. However, respondents are welcome to depart from the suggested structure provided the same information is included in a response. The usual way to publish a response is to include it in the agenda of a meeting of the body to which the report or recommendations were addressed.

## Issue: Oxford United Football Club

Lead Cabinet Member(s): Cllr Liz Leffman, Leader of the Council, Cllr Dan Levy, Cabinet Member for Finance, Cllr Neil Fawcett, Cabinet Member for Community and Corporate Services

Date response requested:<sup>2</sup> 19 September 2023

	Response to recommendatio	ns:
--	---------------------------	-----

Recommendation	Accepted, rejected or partially accepted	Proposed action (if different to that recommended) and indicative timescale (unless rejected)
That Cabinet should fully understand the short-, medium-, and long-term impacts of the covenants proposed, including any future financial risks.	Accepted	Cabinet discussed the risks and impacts of the freehold proposal at its meeting of 19 September 2023, including the covenants proposed. From this discussion a proposal was made to proceed with a leasehold of the Triangle, rather than a freehold disposal, which was in some part due to the understanding of the risks involved with the freehold disposal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

## **Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma**

That Cabinet should pay particular attention to the question of whether freehold or leasehold is most appropriate taking account of the difference between legal and reputational risk.	Accepted	Cabinet paid particular attention to this question and, as a result of taking account of the legal and reputational risks, determined that it preferred a leasehold of the Triangle.
---	----------	--